

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/538,061	03/29/2006	Mitsuhiro Haraguchi	P28015	9213	
7055 GREENBLUM	7055 7590 05/21/2007 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	D CLARKE PLACE		ROBERTS	ROBERTS, LEZAH	
RESTON, VA	. 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
	••		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,061	HARAGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lezah W. Roberts	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applications of the second in Application of the second in the sec	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	» <b>—</b>	···· (DTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06 Dec 2006</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed February 20, 2007. All previous rejections have been withdrawn unless stated below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Claims

## **Double Patenting - Duplicate Claims**

Applicant is advised that should claims 3-5, 10 and 13 be found allowable, claims 8-9, 11-12 and 14 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - Anticipation

1) Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi et al. (US 5,624,962). The rejection is maintained in regards to claims 1 and 3-14.

Applicant argues the reference does not disclose the invention in claims 1 and 6 as presently claimed and every element is not disclosed in the reference as set forth in the claims. Takeuchi disclose ophthalmic compositions, which contain epinephrine. In

Art Unit: 1614

contrast the compositions of the present invention is directed to oral surgery or dental treatment. Moreover the compositions of the instant claims exclude catecholamine (epinephrine). This argument is not persuasive.

The compositions of the reference may be used not only for ophthalmology but also in the oral cavity. Furthermore claim 6 does not recite the limitation that the compositions must be used in the oral cavity. Epinephrine is one of the drugs that may be delivered by the delivery system; it is not an essential ingredient in the compositions of the reference, therefore, it may be excluded.

2) Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pan et al. (US 5,912,007). The rejection is maintained in regards to claim 6-7 and withdrawn in regards to claims 1-5 and 8-14.

Applicant argues the compositions of claim 1 and agent of claim 6 are intended to exclude a polysaccharide or a cross-linking agent, in view of the language "aqueous solution" in claim 1 and the "consisting of" language in claim 6. This argument is not persuasive.

In regards to claim 6 "consisting of", this claim is interpreted to be open. The claims as written are interpreted to mean, "comprising a compound selected from". The "consisting of" language precedes a Markush group and is not considered a transitional phrase. Therefore the compositions may comprise other components besides antihistamines.

Art Unit: 1614

3) Claims 1, 3-7, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lapidus (US 5,543,148). The rejection is maintained and applied to claims 8-9, 11-12 and 14.

Applicant argues Lapidus discloses a gel composition and claim 1 is directed to an aqueous solution for oral surgery or dental treatment. Claim 6 includes the phrase "consisting of", which would exclude certain ingredients of Lapidus, e.g., gelling agents. This argument is not persuasive.

In regards to claim 1, the compositions of the reference comprise water and therefore are aqueous solutions. A solution is defined by Merriam-Webster as "an act or the process by which a solid, liquid, or gaseous substance is homogeneously mixed with a liquid or sometimes a gas or solid". This definition encompasses the gels of the instant claims. As for the use, intended use carries not weight in determining patentability because the compositions of the reference and the compositions of the instant claims are substantially the same and therefore may be used for the same purposes such as dental treatment. In regards to claim 6 "consisting of", this claim is interpreted to be open language. The claim as written is interpreted to mean, "comprising a compound selected from". The "consisting of" language precedes a Markush group and is not considered a transitional phrase. Therefore the compositions may comprise other components besides antihistamines.

Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected.

No claims allowed.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lezah W. Roberts whose telephone number is 571-272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lezah Roberts Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614

Joh Rolo

Frederick Krass
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614